

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,895	10/21/2003	Chang-Hyeon Ji	P-0597	3767
34610 75	90 11/07/2006		EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			WOOD, KEVIN S	
P.O. BOX 2212 CHANTILLY,			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
·	•		2874	
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/688,895	JI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin S. Wood	2874	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	I	th the correspondence ac	ddress
Period for Reply	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the control of	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	inis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the	e merite ie
closed in accordance with the practice unde			c ments is
Disposition of Claims		,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application	nn		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· ·		
8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement		•
Application Papers	w steemen requirements		
·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	ГО-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		() ()	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		oplication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			Stage
application from the International Bure			3-
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)	formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o) 🔲 Other:	_ ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/688,895 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

RESTRICTION/ELECTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
- I. Fig. 5-7, 11 Optical switch having reflected light reflected by the reflector at an acute angle less than 90 degrees.
- II. Fig. 8-10, 12 Optical switch having reflected light reflected by the reflector at an acute angle greater than 90 degrees.
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because each species is mutually exclusive. They have a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and required independent searches. The search for each of the above species is not co-extensive. Further, a reference which would anticipate one species would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious the other species. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different for each species. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine both species in one application.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Art Unit: 2874

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected 4. invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin S. Wood whose telephone number is (571) 272-2364. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney B. Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,895

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin S. Wood Primary Examiner Page 5